

| Cohort & Funding                             | 2022           |
|--|----------------|
| Jersey Premium Budget                        | 47 000         |
| Total number of pupils                       | 192            |
| Number of pupils eligible for Jersey Premium | 31             |
| % of pupils eligible for Jersey Premium      | 16%            |
| Publish date                                 | April 22       |
| Review date                                  | Dec 22         |
| Jersey Premium lead                          | Stuart Pallant |

## Data – Summer 2021

|                       | Reading | Writing | Maths |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|-------|
| Rapid and Accelerated | 14%     | 18%     | 13%   |
| Expected Progress     | 86%     | 59%     | 82%   |
| Lower than Expected   | 0       | 23%     | 5%    |

## Teaching projects

| Project and Rationale  | Impact   |
|--|--|
| Aim: To improve language and communication skills in the     | All pupils were screened using the traffic light system pupils |
| Early Years and Year 1.                                      | identified as not meeting expected outcome were then part      |
|  | of an intervention in the spring and summer terms. Moving      |
| Activity: The WellComm Programme was used to deliver         | forward from the start of the Autumn term the pupils           |
| language teaching groups to pupils in Nursery, Reception and | identified through the same system were supported in class     |
| Year 1 who were identified through a screening programme as  | by trained staff. This is because as the project became more   |
| having gaps in their acquisition, understanding and use of   | embedded there were lower numbers needing intervention.        |
| language and communication.                                  |  |
|  | Reception- Year 1 pupils                                       |
|  | 9 pupils had intervention.                                     |
|  | 7 pupils achieved expected outcome.                            |



|   | 2 pupils with significant communication difficulties did not achieve expected outcome.  Nursery- Reception pupils 9 pupils had intervention. 6 pupils were one point below expected outcome and will probably achieve this by Easter. 3 pupils were more than one point below expected outcome. All pupils made good progress; this support will continue.  Nursery (Autumn term only) 10 pupils had intervention. 6 Pupils were one point below expected progress and will probably reach expected outcome by Easter. 4 Pupils are below expected outcome.  Throughout the year all EYFS pupils were screened and benefitted from WellComm including 7 JP pupils. |
|---|--|
| Project and Rationale   | Impact   |
| Aim   | Average SS in HS spelling assessment moved from 98 to 109  |
| To raise standards in writing with a focus on spelling.   | in this period.  |
| Activity An extra teacher provided additional spelling support to all Year 3, 4 and 5 classes in the school. This allowed one session per week where pupils had a small group spelling input with the additional teacher. It also reduced the class size to allow greater teacher pupil ratio during a writing session. | Writing teacher assessment shows 69% of pupils in these year groups made expected progress and 14% made rapid and accelerated progress. A higher proportion of JP pupils made Rapid and Accelerated progress than non JP pupils.   |
| Project and Rationale   | Impact   |
| Aim Raise standards in all areas within Year 1. Activity An extra teacher was in the Year 1 class for a total of one day per week for the Spring and summer terms. This allowed the further small group interventions within the class focusing on specific groups and individuals during this time.                    | Reading 52% S, 24% D, 24% E JP pupils 100% S  Writing 56% S, 22% D, 22% E. JP 100% S  Maths 56% S, 19% D, 26% E. JP 50% S 50% D  |



## Targeted academic interventions

| Impact   |
|--|
| 4 pupils benefitted from Reading Response sessions in 2  |
| cohorts throughout the Spring and Summer terms. This was   |
| a 12-week programme.   |
| a - 2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
| All pupils who were part of the programme made between 4   |
| and 11 levels of benchmark progress as a result of this  |
| intervention.  |
| Impact   |
| 5 pupils benefitted from BR@P 2 of which were JP. All pupils   |
| made between all pupils made between 1 and 7 levels of   |
| benchmark progress as a result of this intervention.   |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Impact   |
| 8 pupils benefitted from this intervention; 2 of which were  |
| in Receipt of JP.  |
|  |
| YARC testing focussed on change in comprehension listed  |
| below.   |
|  |
| Year 5 Pupils- 3 pupils made between 2 years 1 month and 4   |
| months progress. One pupil made no change.   |
|  |
| Year 6 Pupils- 3 pupils made between 4 months and 2 years  |
| 3 months progress. One pupil made no change.   |
|  |
| One JP pupil made 4 months progress and one made 7   |
|  |
| months progress in 6 weeks.  |
| Impact   |
|  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading.   |
| Impact   |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading.   |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading.   |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent across Key Stage 2 between Dec 21 and Dec 22 when  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent across Key Stage 2 between Dec 21 and Dec 22 when comparing the Standardised score.  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent across Key Stage 2 between Dec 21 and Dec 22 when comparing the Standardised score.  The overall average standardised score in 2021 was 105 the  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent across Key Stage 2 between Dec 21 and Dec 22 when comparing the Standardised score.  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent across Key Stage 2 between Dec 21 and Dec 22 when comparing the Standardised score.  The overall average standardised score in 2021 was 105 the average standardised score in 2022 was 103.  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent across Key Stage 2 between Dec 21 and Dec 22 when comparing the Standardised score.  The overall average standardised score in 2021 was 105 the average standardised score in 2022 was 103.  The JP average standardised score in 2021 was 97 and 2022         |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent across Key Stage 2 between Dec 21 and Dec 22 when comparing the Standardised score.  The overall average standardised score in 2021 was 105 the average standardised score in 2022 was 103.  |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent across Key Stage 2 between Dec 21 and Dec 22 when comparing the Standardised score.  The overall average standardised score in 2021 was 105 the average standardised score in 2022 was 103.  The JP average standardised score in 2021 was 97 and 2022 was 94. |
| Impact The pupil moved from developing to secure in reading. Head start spelling moved from SS score 88 to 111.  Impact Looking at PIRA data results have generally been consistent across Key Stage 2 between Dec 21 and Dec 22 when comparing the Standardised score.  The overall average standardised score in 2021 was 105 the average standardised score in 2022 was 103.  The JP average standardised score in 2021 was 97 and 2022         |
|  |



## **Wider Strategies**

| Project and Rationale  | Impact   |
|--|--|
| Aim  | 9 pupils accessed wellbeing during 2022. 2 of which were in  |
| To increase levels of Wellbeing throughout the school.   | receipt of JP. All pupils referenced a positive impact of the sessions when completing a post program questionnaire. |
| Activity   |  |
| Teacher was released for 1 hr per week to work with specific highlighted pupils on wellbeing based activities. Pupils were highlighted by class teacher. |  |
| We purchased a range of Wellbeing materials for use in the Wellbeing room when the Facilitator was working with pupils.                                  |  |
| We further developed the Wellbeing room and decorated accordingly. Project included: mural, lighting, soft furnishings etc.                              |  |

For more information about Jersey Premium, please visit <a href="www.gov.je/JerseyPremium">www.gov.je/JerseyPremium</a>

